Computer Vision - Lecture 14

Indexing and Visual Vocabularies

17.12.2015

Bastian Leibe
RWTH Aachen
http://www.vision.rwth-aachen.de

leibe@vision.rwth-aachen.de

Computer Vision WS 15/16

Announcements

- Lecture evaluation
  - Please fill out the forms...

Computer Vision WS 15/16

Course Outline

- Image Processing Basics
- Segmentation & Grouping
- Object Recognition
- Object Categorization I
  - Sliding Window based Object Detection
- Local Features & Matching
  - Local Features - Detection and Description
  - Recognition with Local Features
  - Indexing & Visual Vocabularies
- Object Categorization II
  - Bag-of-Words Approaches & Part-based Approaches
- 3D Reconstruction

Computer Vision WS 15/16

Recap: Recognition with Local Features

- Image content is transformed into local features that are invariant to translation, rotation, and scale
- Goal: Verify if they belong to a consistent configuration



Slide credit: David Lowe

B. Leibe

Computer Vision WS 15/16

Recap: Fitting an Affine Transformation

- Assuming we know the correspondences, how do we get the transformation?



(x_i, y_i) $\qquad$ (x_i', y_i')

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_i' \\ y_i' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} m_1 & m_2 \\ m_3 & m_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_i \\ y_i \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} & & & \cdots & & \\ x_i & y_i & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_i & y_i & 0 & 1 \\ & & & \cdots & & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m_1 \\ m_2 \\ m_3 \\ m_4 \\ t_1 \\ t_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cdots \\ x_i' \\ y_i' \\ \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$

B. Leibe

Computer Vision WS 15/16

Recap: Fitting a Homography

- Estimating the transformation



$$\mathbf{x}_{A_1} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}_{B_1}$$
$$\mathbf{x}_{A_2} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}_{B_2}$$
$$\mathbf{x}_{A_3} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}_{B_3}$$
$$\vdots$$

Homogenous coordinates

$$\begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ z' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} h_{11} & h_{12} & h_{13} \\ h_{21} & h_{22} & h_{23} \\ h_{31} & h_{32} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Image coordinates

$$\begin{bmatrix} x'' \\ y'' \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{z'} \begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ z' \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrix notation

$$x' = Hx$$
$$x'' = \tfrac{1}{z'} x'$$

$$x_{A_1} = \frac{h_{11} x_{B_1} + h_{12} y_{B_1} + h_{13}}{h_{31} x_{B_1} + h_{32} y_{B_1} + 1} \qquad y_{A_1} = \frac{h_{21} x_{B_1} + h_{22} y_{B_1} + h_{23}}{h_{31} x_{B_1} + h_{32} y_{B_1} + 1}$$

Slide credit: Krystian Mikolajczyk

B. Leibe

Recap: Fitting a Homography

RWTH AACHEN UNIVERSITY

Computer Vision WS 15/16

- Estimating the transformation



$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} h_{11}x_{B_1} + h_{12}y_{B_1} + h_{13} - x_{A_1}h_{31}x_{B_1} - x_{A_1}h_{32}y_{B_1} - x_{A_1} &= 0 \\ h_{21}x_{B_1} + h_{22}y_{B_1} + h_{23} - y_{A_1}h_{31}x_{B_1} - y_{A_1}h_{32}y_{B_1} - y_{A_1} &= 0 \end{aligned}}$$

$\mathbf{x}_{A_1} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}_{B_1}$
$\mathbf{x}_{A_2} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}_{B_2}$
$\mathbf{x}_{A_3} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}_{B_3}$
$\vdots$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{B_1} & y_{B_1} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_{A_1}x_{B_1} & -x_{A_1}y_{B_1} & -x_{A_1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_{B_1} & y_{B_1} & 1 & -y_{A_1}x_{B_1} & -y_{A_1}y_{B_1} & -y_{A_1} \\ . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\ . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\ . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} h_{11} \\ h_{12} \\ h_{13} \\ h_{21} \\ h_{22} \\ h_{23} \\ h_{31} \\ h_{32} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ . \\ . \\ . \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Ah = 0$$

Slide credit: Krystian Mikolajczyk

B. Leibe

9

Recap: Fitting a Homography

RWTH AACHEN UNIVERSITY

Computer Vision WS 15/16

- Estimating the transformation
- Solution:
  - Null-space vector of A
  - Corresponds to smallest eigenvector



$$Ah = 0$$

$\mathbf{x}_{A_1} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}_{B_1}$
$\mathbf{x}_{A_2} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}_{B_2}$
$\mathbf{x}_{A_3} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}_{B_3}$
$\vdots$

SVD

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}^T = \mathbf{U}\begin{bmatrix} d_{11} & \cdots & d_{19} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ d_{91} & \cdots & d_{99} \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} v_{11} & \cdots & \boxed{v_{19}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \boxed{\vdots} \\ v_{91} & \cdots & \boxed{v_{99}} \end{bmatrix}^T$$

$$\boxed{\mathbf{h} = \frac{[v_{19}, \cdots, v_{99}]}{v_{99}}}$$

Minimizes least square error

Slide credit: Krystian Mikolajczyk

B. Leibe

10

Recap: Object Recognition by Alignment

RWTH AACHEN UNIVERSITY

Computer Vision WS 15/16

- Assumption
  - Known object, rigid transformation compared to model image
  - ⇒ *If we can find evidence for such a transformation, we have recognized the object.*
- You learned methods for
  - Fitting an ***affine transformation*** from ≥ 3 correspondences
  - Fitting a ***homography*** from ≥ 4 correspondences

Affine: solve a system $At = b$

Homography: solve a system $Ah = 0$

- Correspondences may be noisy and may contain outliers
  - ⇒ Need to use robust methods that can filter out outliers

B. Leibe

11

Recap: Robust Estimation with RANSAC

RWTH AACHEN UNIVERSITY

Computer Vision WS 15/16

RANSAC loop:

1. Randomly select a *seed group* of points on which to base transformation estimate (e.g., a group of matches)
2. Compute transformation from seed group
3. Find *inliers* to this transformation
4. If the number of inliers is sufficiently large, re-compute least-squares estimate of transformation on all of the inliers

- Keep the transformation with the largest number of inliers

Slide credit: Kristen Grauman

B. Leibe

12

Recap: Generalized Hough Transform

RWTH AACHEN UNIVERSITY

Computer Vision WS 15/16

- Suppose our features are scale- and rotation-invariant
  - Then a single feature match provides an alignment hypothesis (translation, scale, orientation).

model



Slide credit: Svetlana Lazebnik

B. Leibe

13

Recap: Generalized Hough Transform

RWTH AACHEN UNIVERSITY

Computer Vision WS 15/16

- Suppose our features are scale- and rotation-invariant
  - Then a single feature match provides an alignment hypothesis (translation, scale, orientation).
  - Of course, a hypothesis from a single match is unreliable.
  - Solution: let each match vote for its hypothesis in a Hough space with very coarse bins.

model



Slide credit: Svetlana Lazebnik

B. Leibe

14

Topics of This Lecture

- Indexing with Local Features
  - Inverted file index
  - Visual Words
  - Visual Vocabulary construction
  - tf-idf weighting
- Bag-of-Words Model
  - Use for image classification

Application: Mobile Visual Search

- Take photos of objects as queries for visual search

Large-Scale Image Matching Problem

Database with thousands (millions) of images

- How can we perform this matching step efficiently?

Indexing Local Features

- Each patch / region has a descriptor, which is a point in some high-dimensional feature space (e.g., SIFT)



Figure credit: A. Zisserman

Indexing Local Features

- When we see close points in feature space, we have similar descriptors, which indicates similar local content.



- This is of interest for many applications
  - E.g. Image matching,
  - E.g. Retrieving images of similar objects,
  - E.g. Object recognition, categorization, 3d Reconstruction,…

Figure credit: A. Zisserman

Indexing Local Features

- With potentially thousands of features per image, and hundreds to millions of images to search, how to efficiently find those that are relevant to a new image?
- Low-dimensional descriptors (e.g. through PCA):
  - Can use standard efficient data structures for nearest neighbor search
- High-dimensional descriptors
  - Approximate nearest neighbor search methods more practical
- Inverted file indexing schemes

Slide credit: Kristen Grauman

Indexing Local Features: Inverted File Index



- For text documents, an efficient way to find all *pages* on which a *word* occurs is to use an index…
- We want to find all *images* in which a *feature* occurs.
- To use this idea, we'll need to map our features to "visual words".

Text Retrieval vs. Image Search

- What makes the problems similar, different?

Visual Words: Main Idea

- Extract some local features from a number of images …



Visual Words: Main Idea



Visual Words: Main Idea



Visual Words: Main Idea





Each point is a local descriptor, e.g. SIFT vector.



Idea: quantize the feature space.

Indexing with Visual Words

Map high-dimensional descriptors to tokens/words by quantizing the feature space



- Quantize via clustering, let cluster centers be the prototype "words"

Indexing with Visual Words

Map high-dimensional descriptors to tokens/words by quantizing the feature space



- Determine which word to assign to each new image region by finding the closest cluster center.

Visual Words

- Example: each group of patches belongs to the same visual word





Figure from Sivic & Zisserman, ICCV 2003

Visual Words

- Often used for describing scenes and objects for the sake of indexing or classification.



Sivic & Zisserman 2003; Csurka, Bray, Dance, & Fan 2004; many others.

Inverted File for Images of Visual Words

Word number	List of image numbers
1	→ 5,10, ...
2	→ 10,...
...	...

When will this give us a significant gain in efficiency?

Example: Recognition with Vocabulary Tree

- Tree construction:



[Nister & Stewenius, CVPR'06]

Vocabulary Tree

- Training: Filling the tree



[Nister & Stewenius, CVPR'06]

Vocabulary Tree

- Training: Filling the tree



[Nister & Stewenius, CVPR'06]

Vocabulary Tree

- Training: Filling the tree



[Nister & Stewenius, CVPR'06]

Vocabulary Tree

- Training: Filling the tree



[Nister & Stewenius, CVPR'06]

Vocabulary Tree

- Training: Filling the tree



[Nister & Stewenius, CVPR'06]

Vocabulary Tree

- Recognition



[Nister & Stewenius, CVPR'06]

Quiz Questions

- What is the computational advantage of the hierarchical representation vs. a flat vocabulary?
- What dangers does such a representation carry?

Vocabulary Tree: Performance

- Evaluated on large databases
  - Indexing with up to 1M images
- Online recognition for database of 50,000 CD covers
  - Retrieval in ~1s (in 2006)
- Experimental finding that large vocabularies can be beneficial for recognition

[Nister & Stewenius, CVPR'06]



Vocabulary Size



- Larger vocabularies can be advantageous…
- But what happens when the vocabulary gets too large?
  - Efficiency?
  - Robustness?

tf-idf Weighting

- Term frequency – inverse document frequency
- Describe frame by frequency of each word within it, downweight words that appear often in the database
- (Standard weighting for text retrieval)

$$t_i = \frac{n_{id}}{n_d} \log \frac{N}{n_i}$$

Number of occurrences of word i in document d

Number of words in document d

Total number of documents in database

Number of occurrences of word i in whole database

Summary: Indexing features



Application for Content Based Img Retrieval

- What if query of interest is a portion of a frame?

Video Google System

1. Collect all words within query re
2. Inverted file index to find releva
3. Compare word counts
4. Spatial verification

Sivic & Zisserman, ICCV 2003

- Demo online at : http://www.robots.ox.ac.uk/~vgg/rese

Collecting Words Within a Query Region

- Example: Friends

Query region: pull out only the SIFT descriptors whose positions are within the polygon

Example Results

Query

More Results

Query

Retrieved shots

Applications: Specific Object Recognition

- Commercial services coming out:
  kooaba
  Google goggles labs
  amazon

Works well for mostly planar objects:
- Movie posters,
- Book covers,
- CD/DVD covers,
- Video games,
- …

(~20M images indexed)

Source: http://www.kooaba.com

Applications: Aachen Tourist Guide

Applications: Fast Image Registration



Applications: Mobile Augmented Reality

D. Wagner, G. Reitmayr, A. Mulloni, T. Drummond, D. Schmalstieg, Pose Tracking from Natural Features on Mobile Phones. In *ISMAR 2008*.

Topics of This Lecture

- Indexing with Local Features
  - Inverted file index
  - Visual Words
  - Visual Vocabulary construction
  - tf-idf weighting
- **Bag-of-Words Model**
  - Use for image classification

Analogy to Documents



Slide credit: Li Fei-Fei

Object → **Bag of 'words'**



Source: ICCV 2005 short course, Li Fei-Fei

Computer Vision WS 15/16



Source: ICCV 2005 short course, Li Fei-Fei

Computer Vision WS 15/16

Bags of Visual Words

- Summarize entire image based on its distribution (histogram) of word occurrences.
- Analogous to bag of words representation commonly used for documents.



Slide credit: Kristen Grauman B. Leibe Image credit: Li Fei-Fei

Computer Vision WS 15/16

Similarly, Bags-of-Textons for Texture Repr.



Julesz, 1981; Cula & Dana, 2001; Leung & Malik 2001; Mori, Belongie & Malik, 2001; Schmid 2001; Varma & Zisserman, 2002, 2003; Lazebnik, Schmid & Ponce, 2003

Slide credit: Svetlana Lazebnik

Computer Vision WS 15/16

Comparing Bags of Words

- We build up histograms of word activations, so any histogram comparison measure can be used here.
- E.g. we can rank frames by normalized scalar product between their (possibly weighted) occurrence counts
  - *Nearest neighbor* search for similar images.



$$sim(d_j, q) = \frac{\vec{d_j} \bullet \vec{q}}{|\vec{d_j}| \times |\vec{q}|} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{t} w_{i,j} \times w_{i,q}}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{t} w_{i,j}^2} \times \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{t} w_{i,q}^2}}$$

B. Leibe Slide credit: Kristen Grauman

Computer Vision WS 15/16

Learning/Recognition with BoW Histograms

- Bag of words representation makes it possible to describe the unordered point set with a single vector (of fixed dimension across image examples)



- Provides easy way to use distribution of feature types with various learning algorithms requiring vector input.

Slide credit: Kristen Grauman B. Leibe

Bags-of-Words for Classification



- Compute the word activation histogram for each image.
- Let each such BoW histogram be a feature vector.
- Use images from each class to train a classifier (e.g., an SVM).

BoW for Object Categorization

{face, flowers, building}

- Works pretty well for image-level classification

Csurka et al. (2004), Willamowski et al. (2005), Grauman & Darrell (2005), Sivic et al. (2003, 2005)

BoW for Object Categorization

Caltech6 dataset



class	bag of features Zhang et al. (2005)	bag of features Willamowski et al. (2004)	Parts-and-shape model Fergus et al. (2003)
airplanes	**98.8**	97.1	90.2
cars (rear)	98.3	**98.6**	90.3
cars (side)	**95.0**	87.3	88.5
faces	**100**	99.3	96.4
motorbikes	**98.5**	98.0	92.5
spotted cats	**97.0**	—	90.0

- Good performance for pure classification (object present/absent)
  - Better than more elaborate part-based models with spatial constraints…
  - What could be possible reasons why?

Limitations of BoW Representations

- The bag of words removes spatial layout.
- This is both a strength and a weakness.
- *Why a strength?*
- *Why a weakness?*



BoW Representation: Spatial Information

- A bag of words is an *orderless* representation: throwing out spatial relationships between features
- Middle ground:
  - Visual "phrases" : frequently co-occurring words
  - Semi-local features : describe configuration, neighborhood
  - Let position be part of each feature
  - Count bags of words only within sub-grids of an image
  - After matching, verify spatial consistency (e.g., look at neighbors - are they the same too?)

Spatial Pyramid Representation

- Representation in-between orderless BoW and global appearance



[Lazebnik, Schmid & Ponce, CVPR'06]

Spatial Pyramid Representation

- Representation in-between orderless BoW and global appearance



Spatial Pyramid Representation

- Representation in-between orderless BoW and global appearance



Summary: Bag-of-Words

- Pros:
  - Flexible to geometry / deformations / viewpoint
  - Compact summary of image content
  - Provides vector representation for sets
  - Empirically good recognition results in practice
- Cons:
  - Basic model ignores geometry - must verify afterwards, or encode via features.
  - Background and foreground mixed when bag covers whole image
  - Interest points or sampling: no guarantee to capture object-level parts.
  - Optimal vocabulary formation remains unclear.

References and Further Reading

- More details on RANSAC can be found in Chapter 4.7 of
  - R. Hartley, A. Zisserman
    Multiple View Geometry in Computer Vision
    2nd Ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, 2004



- Details about the Hough transform for object recognition can be found in
  - D. Lowe, Distinctive image features from scale-invariant keypoints, *IJCV* 60(2), pp. 91-110, 2004
- Details about the Video Google system can be found in
  - *J. Sivic, A. Zisserman,* Video Google: A Text Retrieval Approach to Object Matching in Videos, ICCV'03, 2003.